arXiv:1401.1120v2 [math.RT] 4 Jun 2014

$\mathcal{W}_n^+$- AND $\mathcal{W}_n$-MODULE STRUCTURES ON $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$

HAIJUN TAN AND KAIMING ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{h}_n$ be the Cartan subalgebra of the Witt algebras $\mathcal{W}_n^+ = \mathrm{Der}\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, ..., t_n]$ and $\mathcal{W}_n = \mathrm{Der}\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ where $1 \leq n \leq \infty$. In this paper, we classify the modules over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ and over $\mathcal{W}_n$ which are free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-modules of rank 1. These are the $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-modules $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$ for some $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$; and $\mathcal{W}_n$-modules $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ for some $\Lambda_n \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Keywords: Witt algebras, non-weight modules

2000 Math. Subj. Class.: 17B10, 17B20, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of all integers, non-negative integers, positive integers and complex numbers, respectively. All vector spaces and algebras in this paper are over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $U(\mathfrak{a})$ the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

For any integer $n : 1 \leq n \leq \infty$, let $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ and $\mathcal{A}_n^+ = \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n]$ be the polynomial algebras. Then we have the Lie algebras $\mathcal{W}_n = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and $\mathcal{W}_n^+ = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{A}_n^+)$. These Lie algebras are known as the Witt algebras of rank n. We know that $\mathcal{W}_1$ is the centerless Virasoro algebra.

The theory of weight Virasoro modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces is fairly well-developed. In 1992, O. Mathieu [M] classified all irreducible modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces over the Virasoro algebra, proving a conjecture of Kac [Ka]. More precisely, O. Mathieu proved that irreducible weight modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces fall into two classes: (i) highest/lowest weight modules and (ii) modules of tensor fields on a circle and their quotients. V. Mazorchuk and K. Zhao [MZ1] proved that an irreducible weight module over the Virasoro algebra is either a Harish-Chandra module or a module in which all weight spaces in the weight lattice are infinite-dimensional. In [CGZ, CM, LLZ, LZ2, Zh], some simple weight modules over the Virasoro algebra with infinite-weight spaces were constructed. Very recently, the non-weight simple representation theory of the Virasoro algebra has made a big progress. A lot of new non-weight simple modules were obtained in [BM, GLZ, LGZ, LLZ, LZ1, MW, MZ2, OW, TZ1, TZ2] by using different methods.

The theory of weight representations over Witt algebras $\mathcal{W}_n$ for $1 < n < \infty$ has also well-developed. In 2013, Y. Billig and V. Futorny [BF] successfully proved the conjecture given by Eswara Rao [E] in 2004. They proved that irreducible modules over $\mathcal{W}_n$ $(1 < n < \infty)$ with finite-dimensional

weight spaces also fall in two classes: (i) modules of the highest weight type and (ii) modules of tensor fields on a torus and their quotients. Mazorchuk and Zhao [MZ3] gave an explicit description of the support of an arbitrary irreducible weight module. There are also mixed weight modules over $\mathcal{W}_n$ for $n > 1$, see, for example [HWZ]. Recently, in [TZ3] some examples of non-weight irreducible modules over $\mathcal{W}_n$ with $1 < n < \infty$ were given. We have not seen other examples of non-weight irreducible representations over these Witt algebras.

For the Witt algebras $\mathcal{W}_n^+$, Penkov and Serganova [PS] gave an explicit description of the support of an arbitrary irreducible weight module. We have not seen any other studies about irreducible representations over these Witt algebras.

In this paper, we mainly concern about the non-weight representations over Witt algebras $\mathcal{W}_n$ and $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ for $1 \leq n \leq \infty$. More precisely, we will classify a class of non-weight modules which are free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-modules of rank 1, where $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_n$ and $\mathcal{W}_n^+$.

Firstly, let us recall some definitions and some old representations over $\mathcal{W}_n$ and over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$. Then construct some new representations over $\mathcal{W}_n$ and over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$ the set of all sequences $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots)$ where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and only a finite number of k_i can be nonzero. Denote $(\mathbb{C}^*)^\infty = \{(c_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} : c_k \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1} = \{l \in \mathbb{Z} : l \geq -1\}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n = \{(k_1, \cdots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1} \text{ and } k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ for all } j \neq i\},$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^\infty = \{(k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots) \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty : k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1} \text{ and } k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ for all } j \neq i\}.$$

Let $\partial_i = t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_n \partial_i.$$

For $r = (r_1, \cdots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, set $t^r = t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2} \cdots t_n^{r_n}$. We can write the Lie brackets in $\mathcal{W}_n$ as follows:

$$[t^r \partial_i, t^s \partial_j] = s_i t^{r+s} \partial_j - r_j t^{r+s} \partial_i, \ \forall\ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n; r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

It is known that $\mathfrak{h}_n = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}\partial_i$ is the *Cartan subalgebra* of $\mathcal{W}_n$.

Similarly, the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ is $\mathfrak{h}_\infty = \oplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{C}\partial_i$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W}_n$ can be seen as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_\infty$. Clearly, $\mathcal{W}_n^+$(resp. $\mathcal{W}_\infty^+$) can be seen as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_n$(resp. $\mathcal{W}_\infty$), and $\mathcal{W}_n^+$(resp. $\mathcal{W}_\infty^+$) and $\mathcal{W}_n$(resp. $\mathcal{W}_\infty$) share the common Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$(resp. $\mathfrak{h}_\infty$).

With respect to the basis $\{\partial_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$, the weight sets of $\mathcal{W}_n$ and $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ are $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\cup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n$ respectively. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $W_i^+ = \mathrm{Der}\mathbb{C}[t_i]$.

The *Virasoro algebra* $\mathfrak{V}$ is the universal central extension of $\mathcal{W}_1$. For convenience, we denote $t_1^i \partial_1$ by d_i for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{V} = \big(\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}d_i\big) \oplus \mathbb{C}C,$$

and the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{V}$ is defined by

$$[C, d_i] = 0,\ [d_i, d_j] = (j-i)d_{i+j} + \delta_{i,-j}\frac{i^3-i}{12}C,\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we recall and define some representations over $\mathcal{W}_n$ and over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, a \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $\Omega(\lambda, a) = \mathbb{C}[x]$ the polynomial associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ in indeterminate x. In [LZ1], a class of $\mathfrak{V}$-modules is defined on $\Omega(\lambda, a)$ by

$$Cf(x) = 0, d_i f(x) = \lambda^i (x - i(a+1))f(x-i), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

From [LZ1] we know that $\Omega(\lambda, a)$ is irreducible if and only if $a \neq -1$. The modules $\Omega(1, a)$ were also studied in [BMZ].

Since $\mathcal{W}_1^+$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{V}$, each $\mathfrak{V}$-module $\Omega(\lambda, a)$ can be seen as a $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module. We also denote the corresponding $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module by $\Omega(\lambda, a)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module $\Omega(\lambda, a)$ is irreducible if and only if $a \neq -1$.

Now let us define a class of new $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-modules.

Definition 1. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, a \in \mathbb{C}$. We have a $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module structure on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{-1} \cdot f(x) = \lambda^{-1} f(x+1),\ d_0 \cdot f(x) = xf(x), \\ &d_k \cdot f(x) = \lambda^k f(x-k)(x - k(a+1))\Pi_{i=0}^{k-1}(x + a - i), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote this $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module by $\Gamma(\lambda, a)$.

It is tedious but straightforward to verify that the above action makes $\mathbb{C}[x]$ into a $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module. Clearly, $\Gamma(\lambda, 0) \cong \Gamma(\lambda, -1)$.

In [TZ3], a class of $\mathcal{W}_n$-modules were defined as follows, where $1 < n < \infty$. For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, denote by $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ the polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ in commuting indeterminates $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$. The action of $\mathcal{W}_n$ on $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ is defined by

$$t^k \partial_i \cdot f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \Lambda_n^k (x_i - k_i(a+1))f(x_1 - k_1, \cdots, x_n - k_n), \tag{1.2}$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n, \Lambda_n^k = \lambda_1^{k_1}\lambda_2^{k_2}\cdots\lambda_n^{k_n}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Since $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_n$, $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ can be seen as a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module. We also denote the corresponding $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module by $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$.

For convenience, we make the following convention $\Pi_{p=0}^{-1} g(p) = 1$ for any function $g(p)$. Now we can define the following $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-modules for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 < n < \infty$.

Definition 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. For any $k \in \cup_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{+,j}^n$, we define*

$$\varphi_{S,a}(k, i) = (x_i - k_i(a+1))\Pi_{q \in S}\Pi_{p=0}^{k_q - 1}(x_q + a - p),\ \text{if } k_i \neq -1 \text{ or } i \notin S$$

$$\varphi_{S,a}(k, i) = \Pi_{q \in S \setminus \{i\}}\Pi_{p=0}^{k_q - 1}(x_q + a - p),\ \text{if } k_i = -1 \text{ and } i \in S.$$

Define the action of $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ as follows:

$$t^k \partial_i \cdot f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \Lambda_n^k f(x_1 - k_1, \cdots, x_n - k_n)\varphi_{S,a}(k, i), \tag{1.3}$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n^+$. We denote this $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module by $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$.

It is tedious but straightforward to verify that the above action makes $\mathcal{A}_n^+$ into a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module. We remark that when S is empty, $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S) \cong \Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$. One can easily see that in Definition 2, n can be replaced by ∞. Thus we have the $\mathcal{W}_\infty^+$-module $\Omega(\Lambda_\infty, a, S)$.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we classify the modules over $\mathcal{W}_1^+$ which are free $U(\mathbb{C}d_0)$-modules of rank 1(Theorem 2). These modules are exactly $\Omega(\lambda, a)$ and $\Gamma(\lambda, a)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, a \in \mathbb{C}$. As a corollary, we also classify the modules of the Virasoro algebra which are free $U(\mathbb{C}d_0)$-modules of rank 1 (Theorem 3). These modules are exactly $\Omega(\lambda, a)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. We separate the Virasoro algebra case from $\mathcal{W}_n$ because of the center $\mathbb{C}C$ of $\mathfrak{V}$. In section 3, we classify the modules over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ which are free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-modules of rank 1 (Theorem 8). These are exactly the $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-modules $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$ for some $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We also classify the $\mathcal{W}_n$-modules which are free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-modules of rank 1 (Theorem 9). These modules are $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ for some $\Lambda_n \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. These results are also true for $n = \infty$.

2. $\mathcal{W}_1^+$- and $\mathcal{W}_1$-module structures on $\mathbb{C}[d_0]$

In this section, we will classify the modules over $\mathcal{W}_1^+$ and over $\mathcal{W}_1$ which are free $\mathbb{C}[d_0]$-modules of rank 1.

Let $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ and let $M = U(\mathfrak{h}_n)v$ be a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module with left multiplication action of $\mathfrak{h}_n$. The following observation is important.

Lemma 1. *For any $f(\partial_1, \partial_2, ..., \partial_n) \in M$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n$, we have*

$$t^k\partial_i \cdot f(\partial_1, \partial_2, ..., \partial_n)v = f(\partial_1 - k_1, \partial_2 - k_2, ..., \partial_n - k_n)(t^k\partial_i \cdot v), \tag{2.1}$$

and $t^k\partial_i \cdot v \neq 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n$.

Proof. Formula (2.1) follows from $(t^k\partial_i)\partial_j = (\partial_j - k_j)(t^k\partial_i) \in U(\mathcal{W}_n^+)$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Now assume that $t^k\partial_i \cdot v = 0$ for some $k \neq (0, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Then $t^k\partial_i \cdot M = 0$, i.e., $\mathrm{ann}(M) \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ is a simple Lie algebra and $\mathrm{ann}(M)$ is a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{W}_n^+$, this means $\mathrm{ann}(M) = \mathcal{W}_n^+$, hence $\mathfrak{h}_n \cdot M = 0$, which is impossible. So $t^k\partial_i \cdot v \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n$. This completes the proof. □

Now we determine the $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module structures on $M = \mathbb{C}[d_0]v$.

Theorem 2. *Let $M = \mathbb{C}[d_0]$ be a $\mathcal{W}_1^+$-module with left multiplication action of d_0. Then either $M \cong \Omega(\lambda, a)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, a \in \mathbb{C}$ or $M \cong \Gamma(\lambda, a)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{W}_1^+$ as a Lie algebra is generated by $d_{\pm 1}, d_2$, by Lemma 1 we know that the module structure of M is determined by $d_{\pm 1} \cdot 1$ and $d_2 \cdot 1$. Then there are polynomials $f_i(d_0) \in \mathbb{C}[d_0]$ for $i = \pm 1, 2$ such that $d_i \cdot 1 = f_i(d_0)$.

Since $\mathbb{C}d_1 + \mathbb{C}d_0 + \mathbb{C}d_{-1} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra $\mathbb{C}d_0$, from [N] we know that there are three cases to consider for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$:

(i). $d_1(1) = \lambda(d_0 - a)v$ and $d_{-1}(v) = \lambda^{-1}(d_0 + a)$;
(ii). $d_{-1} \cdot 1 = \lambda^{-1}(d_0 - a)(d_0 + (a + 1))$ and $d_1 \cdot 1 = \lambda$;
(iii). $d_1 \cdot 1 = \lambda(d_0 + a)(d_0 - (a + 1))$ and $d_{-1} \cdot 1 = \lambda^{-1}$.

If Case (i) holds, then by the equality $3d_1 = [d_{-1}, d_2]$ we deduce that

$$3\lambda(d_0 - a) = \lambda^{-1}((d_0 + a)f_2(d_0 + 1) - (d_0 + a - 2)f_2(d_0))$$

$$= \lambda^{-1}((d_0 + a)(f_2(d_0 + 1) - f_2(d_0)) + 2f_2(d_0)).$$

We see that the degree of f_2 has to be 1. Let $f_2 = \lambda^2(\alpha d_0+\beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We know that $3(d_0-a) = 3\alpha d_0+(a\alpha+2\beta)$, yielding that $\alpha = 1, \beta = -2a$, i.e., $d_2 v = \lambda^2(d_0 - 2a)v$. Clearly, the actions of $d_{\pm1}, d_2$ on M coincide with the actions of $d_{\pm1}, d_2$ on $\Omega(\lambda, a - 1)$, we see that $M \cong \Omega(\lambda, a - 1)$.

Now suppose Case (ii) holds. From $3d_1 \cdot 1 = [d_{-1}, d_2] \cdot 1$ we deduce that

$$3\lambda = \lambda^{-1}((d_0 - a)(d_0 + a + 1)f_2(d_0 + 1) \tag{2.2}$$

$$-(d_0 - a - 2)(d_0 + a - 1)f_2(d_0))$$

$$= \lambda^{-1}((d_0 - a)(d_0 + a + 1)(f_2(d_0 + 1) - f_2(d_0)) + 2(2d_0 - 1)f_2(d_0))$$

Clearly, $f_2(d_0)$ cannot be a constant. Let the leading term of $f_2(d_0)$ be $a_s d_0^s$ with $s > 0$. The the leading term of the right-hand side of (2.4) is $\lambda^{-1}a_s(s + 4)d_0^{s+1}v \neq 0$. So (2.4) cannot be true, i.e., Case (ii) does not occur.

Let us consider Case (iii). From $3d_1 \cdot 1 = [d_{-1}, d_2] \cdot 1$ we deduce that

$$3\lambda(d_0 + a)(d_0 - (a + 1)) = \lambda^{-1}(f_2(d_0 + 1) - f_2(d_0)).$$

So

$$f_2(d_0) = \lambda^2((d_0 + a)(d_0 + a - 1)(d_0 - 2(a + 1)) + b),\ b \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.3}$$

We first consider the case $b = 0$ in (2.5). In this case, denote

$$d_k \cdot 1 = \lambda^k f_{k,0}(d_0),\ k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $f_{k,0}(d_0) \in \mathbb{C}[d_0]$. We see that the actions of $d_{\pm1}, d_2$ on M coincide with their actions on $\Gamma(\lambda, a)$. Then $M \cong \Gamma(\lambda, a)$. In particular,

$$f_{k,0}(d_0) = \big(\Pi_{i=0}^{k-1}(d_0 + a - i)\big)(d_0 - k(a + 1)), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we consider the case $b \neq 0$ in (2.5). Let

$$d_k \cdot 1 = \lambda^k f_k(d_0) = \lambda^k(f_{k,0}(d_0) + g_k(d_0)), k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $f_k(d_0), f_{k,0}(d_0), g_k(d_0) \in \mathbb{C}[d_0]$ with $g_1(d_0) = 0$ and $g_2(d_0) = b$. For $2 \leq k \leq 5$, by $d_{k+1}v = \frac{1}{k-1}[d_1, d_k]v$, i.e.,

$$(k - 1)g_{k+1}(d_0) = g_k(d_0 - 1)f_1(d_0) - g_k(d_0)f_1(d_0 - k),$$

we deduce that

$$g_3(d_0) = 2b(2d_0 - 3),$$

$$g_4(d_0) = 2b(5d_0^2 - 20d_0 + (18 + a + a^2)),$$

$$g_5(d_0) = 2b\big(10d_0^3 - 75d_0^2 + (173 + 6a + 6a^2)d_0 - (120 + 15a + 15a^2)\big).$$

And $d_5v = [d_2, d_3]v$ means that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_5(d_0) =& f_{2,0}(d_0)g_3(d_0-2) + f_{3,0}(d_0-2)g_2(d_0) + g_2(d_0)g_3(d_0-2)\\
&- f_{3,0}(d_0)g_2(d_0-3) - f_{2,0}(d_0-3)g_3(d_0) - g_3(d_0)g_2(d_0-3)\\
=& f_{2,0}(d_0)2b(2(d_0-2)-3) + f_{3,0}(d_0-2)b + b2b(2(d_0-2)-3)\\
&- f_{3,0}(d_0)b - f_{2,0}(d_0-3)2b(2d_0-3) - 2b(2d_0-3)b\\
=& (d_0+a)(d_0+a-1)(d_0-2(a+1))2b(2(d_0-2)-3)\\
&+ (d_0-2+a)(d_0-2+a-1)(d_0-2+a-2)(d_0-2-3(a+1))b\\
&+ b2b(2(d_0-2)-3) - (d_0+a)(d_0+a-1)(d_0+a-2)(d_0-3(a+1))b\\
&- (d_0-3+a)(d_0-3+a-1)(d_0-3-2(a+1))2b(2d_0-3)\\
&- 2b(2d_0-3)b\\
=& 2b\big(10d_0^3 - 75d_0^2 + (173+6a+6a^2)d_0 - (4b+120+7a-9a^2-16a^3)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

From the above two expressions of $f_5(d_0)$ we deduce that

$$8b(b - (4a^3+6a^2+2a)) = 0,$$

yielding that $b = 4a^3+6a^2+2a$. By simple computation, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(d_0) &= f_{2,0}(d_0) + (4a^3+6a^2+2a)\\
&= (d_0-(a+1))(d_0-(a+1)-1)(d_0+2a).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a' = -(a+1)$, then

$$f_1(d_0) = (d_0+a')(d_0-(a'+1)),$$

$$f_2(d_0) = (d_0+a')(d_0+a'-1)(d_0-2(a'+1)).$$

Note that $d_{-1}\cdot 1 = \lambda^{-1}$, we know that in this case $M \cong \Gamma(\lambda, a')$. This completes the proof. □

Now let us consider the $\mathfrak{V}$-modules which are free $\mathbb{C}[d_0]$-modules of rank 1. We have the following

Theorem 3. *Let M be a $\mathfrak{V}$-module which is a free $\mathbb{C}[d_0]$-module of rank 1. Then $M \cong \Omega(\lambda, a)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. Since M is a free $\mathbb{C}[d_0]$-module of rank 1, we may assume that $M = \mathbb{C}[d_0]$ with left multiplication action of d_0. We know that $\mathfrak{V}$ has a subalgebra isomorphic to W_1^+: $L = \mathrm{span}\{d_i : i \geq -1\}$. Using Theorem 2 we know that, there are some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$d_i f(d_0) = \lambda^i (d_0 - i(a+1)) f(d_0 - i), \forall i \geq -1, f(d_0) \in M, \tag{2.4}$$

or (1.1) holds by replacing x with d_0.

Let $d_{-2}\cdot 1 = f_{-2}(d_0)$ for $f_{-2}(d_0) \in \mathbb{C}[d_0]$.

First assume that (1.1) holds. Using the same arguments as in the paragraph containing Equation (2.2) by replacing $d_{\pm 1}, d_2$ with $d_{\mp 1}, d_{-2}$ and by $-3d_{-1}\cdot 1 = [d_1, d_{-2}]\cdot 1$ we can show that case (1.1) can not hold.

Thus only (2.4) holds. From $-3d_{-1}v = [d_1, d_{-2}]v$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
-3\lambda^{-1}(d_0+a+1)v &= \lambda((d_0-a-1)f_{-2}(d_0-1) - (d_0-a+1)f_{-2}(d_0))v\\
&= \lambda((d_0-a-1)(f_{-2}(d_0-1) - f_{-2}(d_0)) - 2f_{-2}(d_0))v.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the degree of f_{-2} has to be 1. Let $f_{-2} = \lambda^{-2}(\alpha d_0 + \beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We know that $-3(d_0 + a + 1) = -3\alpha d_0 + ((a+1)\alpha - 2\beta)$, yielding that $\alpha = 1, \beta = 2(a+1)$, i.e., $d_{-2}v = \lambda^{-2}(d_0 + 2(a+1))v$.

From $4d_0v - \frac{2^3-2}{12}Cv = [d_{-2}, d_2]v$ we deduce that $Cv = 0$. Thus we see that the actions of $C, d_{\pm1}, d_{\pm2}$ on M coincide with their actions on $\Omega(\lambda, a)$, which means that $M \cong \Omega(\lambda, a)$. This completes the proof. □

3. $\mathcal{W}_n^+$- AND $\mathcal{W}_n$-MODULE STRUCTURES ON $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$

In this section, we determine the module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$ over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ and over $\mathcal{W}_n$ for $1 < n < \infty$. For convenience, we denote the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{i-1}, \partial_{i+1}, \cdots, \partial_n]$ by R_i, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{i-1}, \partial_{i+1}, \cdots, \partial_{j-1}, \partial_{j+1}, \cdots, \partial_n]$ by R_{ij} where $1 \le i \ne j \le n$.

Let M be a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module which is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-module of rank 1. We may assume that $M = U(\mathfrak{h}_n)v$ for some nonzero element $v \in M$. We first prove the following auxiliary result

Lemma 4. *We have $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_i]v$ for any $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -1}$ and $1 \le i \le n$.*

Proof. From Lemma 1, we may assume that

$$t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v = h_{k_i}(\partial_i)v = \sum_{p=0}^{q_{k_i}} \nu_{k_i,p}\partial_i^p v,$$

for $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -1}$ where $h_{k_i}(\partial_i) \in R_i[\partial_i]$ with $\nu_{k_i,p} \in R_i$ and $\nu_{k_i,q_{k_i}} \ne 0$. Then

$$2\partial_i v = [t_i^{-1}\partial_i, t_i\partial_i] \cdot v = \big(h_1(\partial_i + 1)h_{-1}(\partial_i) - h_{-1}(\partial_i - 1)h_1(\partial_i)\big)v. \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly, $q_1 + q_{-1} \le 1$ can not hold. So $q_1 + q_{-1} \ge 2$. And the leading term of $h_1(\partial_i + 1)h_{-1}(\partial_i) - h_{-1}(\partial_i - 1)h_1(\partial_i)$ is $\nu_{1q_1}\nu_{-1,q_{-1}}(q_1 + q_{-1})\partial_i^{q_1+q_{-1}-1}$, which forces that $q_1 + q_{-1} = 2$ and $\nu_{1q_1}, \nu_{-1,q_{-1}} \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote $\nu_{1,q_1} = \lambda_i$, then $\nu_{-1,q_{-1}} = \lambda_i^{-1}$.

There are three cases: (i). $q_1 = q_{-1} = 1$; (ii). $q_1 = 2, q_{-1} = 0$; (iii). $q_1 = 0, q_{-1} = 2$.

Case (i). In this case, denote $h_1(\partial_i) = \lambda_i(\partial_i - a_i)$, where $a_i \in R_i$, then (3.1) implies that $h_{-1}(\partial_i) = \lambda_i(\partial_i + a_i)$. Since

$$3t_i\partial_i \cdot v = [t_i^{-1}\partial_i, t_i^2\partial_i] \cdot v = \lambda_i^{-1}((\partial_i + a_i)(h_2(\partial_i + 1) - h_2(\partial_i)) + 2h_2(\partial_i))v,$$

that is,

$$3\lambda_i(\partial_i - a_i)v = (\lambda_i^{-1}\nu_{2,q_2}(q_2 + 2)\partial_i^{q_2} + g(\partial_i))v,$$

where $g(\partial_i) \in R_i[\partial_i]$ has degree $\le q_2 - 1$, we deduce that $q_2 = 1$ and $h_2(\partial_i) = \lambda_i^2(\partial_i - 2a_i)$. Then, inductively, by $t_i^{k_i+1}\partial_i \cdot v = \frac{1}{k_i - 1}[t_i\partial_i, t_i^{k_i}\partial_i] \cdot v$, we obtain $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i^{k_i}(\partial_i - k_i a_i)v,\ k_i > 2$.

Case (ii). In this case, from $t_i^{-1}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i^{-1}v$ and by (3.1) we have $t_i\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i(\partial_i^2 - \partial_i + b_i)$ for some $b_i \in R_i$. Since

$$3t_i\partial_i \cdot v = [t_i^{-1}\partial_i, t_i^2\partial_i] \cdot v = \lambda_i^{-1}(h_2(\partial_i + 1) - h_2(\partial_i))v,$$

by simple computations we see that

$$h_2(\partial_i) = \lambda_i^2(\partial_i^3 - 3\partial_i^2 + (2 + 3b_i)\partial_i + b_i')$$

for some $b_i' \in R_i$. Again, inductively, by $t_i^{k_i+1}\partial_i \cdot v = \frac{1}{k_i-1}[t_i\partial_i, t_i^{k_i}\partial_i] \cdot v$, we see that $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_i, b_i, b_i']v$ for all $k_i > 2$.

Case (iii) will not occur. Otherwise, $h_1(\partial_i) = \lambda_i$. From (3.1) we deduce that $h_{-1}(\partial_i) = \lambda_i^{-1}(\partial_i^2+\partial_i+c_i)v$ for some $c_i \in R_i$. So $3t_i\partial_i \cdot v = [t_i^{-1}\partial_i, t_i^2\partial_i] \cdot v$ means that

$$3\lambda_i v = (h_2(\partial_i + 1)h_{-1}(\partial_i) - h_{-1}(\partial_i - 2)h_2(\partial_i))v.$$

But in the above equality, the leading term of the right hand side is $\lambda_i^{-1}\nu_{2,q_2}(q_2+4)\partial_i^{q_2+1}$ with $q_2 \geq 0$, which is impossible.

We have proved the following

Claim 1. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i^{k_i}(\partial_i - k_i a_i)v, \ k_i > -1, \text{ or}$$

$$t_i^{-1}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i^{-1}v, \ t_i\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i(\partial_i^2 - \partial_i + b_i), \text{ and}$$

$$t_i^2\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i^2(\partial_i^3 - 3\partial_i^2 + (2+3b_i)\partial_i + b_i')v$$

for some $a_i, b_i, b_i' \in R_i$.

So, to prove the lemma, we only need to show the following

Claim 2. The coefficients $a_i, b_i, b_i' \in \mathbb{C}$ for all i.

Assume $a_i \notin \mathbb{C}$, then there must be some j with $j \neq i$ such that $a_i = F_i(\partial_j) = \sum_{p=0}^{q} \nu_p \partial_j^p \in R_{ij}[\partial_j]$ has positive degree q. From Claim 1 we know that $t_j^{-1}\partial_j \cdot v$ has two choices.

In the case that $t_j^{-1}\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_j^{-1}(\partial_j + a_j)v$ for some $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a_j = F_j(\partial_i) = \sum_{r=0}^{s} \mu_r \partial_i^r \in R_{ij}[\partial_i]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =&\lambda_i^{-k_i}\lambda_j[t_i^{k_i}\partial_i, t_j^{-1}\partial_j] \cdot v \\
=&\big((\partial_j + F_j(\partial_i - k_i))(\partial_i - k_i F_i(\partial_j)) - (\partial_i - k_i F_i(\partial_j + 1))(\partial_j + F_j(\partial_i))\big)v \\
=&k_i\partial_j(F_i(\partial_j + 1) - F_i(\partial_j))v - k_i(F_j(\partial_i - k_i) - F_j(\partial_i))F_i(\partial_j)v \\
&- \partial_i(F_j(\partial_i) - F_j(\partial_i - k_i))v - k_i(F_i(\partial_j) - F_i(\partial_j + 1))F_j(\partial_i)v \\
=&\big(- k_i\nu_q(F_j(\partial_i - k_i) - F_i(\partial_i) - q)\partial_j^q + \psi(\partial_j)\big)v \\
=&(-k_i\nu_q(-s\mu_s k_i\partial_i^{s-1} - q + \varphi(\partial_i))\partial_j^q + \psi(\partial_j))v,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(\partial_j) \in R_j[\partial_j]$ has degree $\leq q-1$, and $\varphi(\partial_i) \in R_{ij}[\partial_i]$ has degree $< s-1$. Letting k_i change in the above equality, we can see that the right hand side is not equal to 0, which is impossible.

In the case that $t_j^{-1}\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_j^{-1}v$ for some $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j[t_i\partial_i, t_j^{-1}\partial_j] \cdot v = ((\partial_i - F_i(\partial_j)) - (\partial_i - F_i(\partial_j + 1))v \\
&= (F_i(\partial_j + 1) - F_i(\partial_j))v \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is absurd.

Therefore, we must have $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now assume that $b_i \notin \mathbb{C}$ and $b_i = G_i(\partial_j) \in R_{ij}[\partial_j]$ has positive degree for some $j \neq i$. From Claim 1 we know that $t_j^{-1}\partial_j \cdot v$ has two choices. In the

case that $t_j^{-1}\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_j(\partial_j + a_j)v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =&\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j[t_i\partial_i, t_j^{-1}\partial_j]\cdot v \\ =&(\partial_j + a_j)((\partial_i^2 - \partial_i + G_i(\partial_j)) - (\partial_i^2 - \partial_i + G_i(\partial_j + 1)))v \\ =&(\partial_j + a_j)(G_i(\partial_j) - G_i(\partial_j + 1))v \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. And in the case that $t_j^{-1}\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_j^{-1}v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j[t_i\partial_i, t_j^{-1}\partial_j]\cdot v = ((\partial_i^2 - \partial_i + F_i(\partial_j)) - (\partial_i^2 - \partial_i + F_i(\partial_j + 1)))v \\ = (F_i(\partial_j) - F_i(\partial_j + 1))v \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Therefore, we must have $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

By the same arguments above we can show that $b_i' \in \mathbb{C}$.

Thus the claim holds and the Lemma follows. □

Since $W_i^+ \cong \mathcal{W}_1^+$ and $\mathbb{C}[\partial_i]v$ as a W_i^+-module is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial_i]$-module of rank 1, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 we have

Lemma 5. *For a fixed j with $1 \leq j \leq n$, there is $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*, a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ so that*

$$t_j^{k_j}\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}(\partial_j - k_j(a_j + 1))v, \ \forall\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1};\ \text{or} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &t_j^{-1}\partial_j v = \lambda_j^{-1}v, \partial_j \cdot v = \partial_j v, \\ &t_j^{k_j}\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}(\partial_j - k_j(a_j + 1))(\Pi_{p=0}^{k_j-1}(\partial_j + a_j - p))v, \ \forall\ k_j \in \mathbb{N} + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Using the isomorphism $\Gamma(\lambda, 0) \cong \Gamma(\lambda, -1)$, we see that, in (3.3) if $a_j = 0$ we can change it into $a_j = -1$ and vise versa. This will be used next. Now we further have the following

Lemma 6. *Let $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. If (3.2) holds, then*

$$t_j^{k_j}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i v, \ \forall\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Denote $t_j\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j H(\partial_i, \partial_j)v$, where $H(\partial_i, \partial_j) \in R_{ij}[\partial_i, \partial_j]$. Using Lemma 5 and from $0 = [t_l^{-1}\partial_l, t_j\partial_i]\cdot v$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \neq l \leq n$ (two choices for $(t_l^{-1}\partial_l)v$) we see that $H(\partial_i, \partial_j) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_i, \partial_j]$. From $\partial_i \cdot v = [t_j^{-1}\partial_j,\ t_j\partial_i]\cdot v$ we deduce that

$$\partial_i v = (H(\partial_i, \partial_j + 1)(\partial_j + a_j + 1) - (\partial_j + a_j)H(\partial_i, \partial_j))v,$$

yielding that $H(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \partial_i$. Using $t_j^{k_j+1}\partial_i \cdot v = \frac{1}{k_j}[t_j\partial_j, t_j^{k_j}\partial_i]\cdot v$ and by induction on $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ we can deduce that $t_j^{k_j}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i v$. So (3.4) holds. □

Lemma 7. *Let $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. If (3.3) holds, then*

$$t_j^{k_j}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i(\Pi_{p=0}^{k_j-1}(\partial_j + a_j - p))v, \ \forall\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Denote $t_j\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j H(\partial_i, \partial_j)v$, where $H(\partial_i, \partial_j) \in R_{ij}[\partial_i, \partial_j]$. As discussed in the proof of Lemma 6, we see that $H(\partial_i, \partial_j) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_i, \partial_j]$. From $\partial_i \cdot v = [t_j^{-1}\partial_j, t_j\partial_i]\cdot v$ we see that $\partial_i v = (H(\partial_i, \partial_j + 1) - H(\partial_i, \partial_j))v$, yielding that $H(\partial_i, \partial_j) = (\partial_j + a_j)\partial_i + \psi(\partial_i)$ for $\psi(\partial_i) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_j]$.

Claim 1. We have $t_j\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j(\partial_j + a_j + b)\partial_i v$ for some $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

We will prove this in two steps corresponding to the two choices for $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v$ as in Lemma 5.

Case 1. (3.2) holds with j replaced by i.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} t_j t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v &= \frac{1}{k_i}(t_j\partial_i \cdot t_i^{k_i}\partial_i - t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot t_j\partial_i)\cdot v \\ &=\lambda_i^{k_i}\lambda_j(\partial_i - k_i(a_i+1))\big((\partial_j + a_j) + \frac{1}{k_i}(\psi(\partial_i) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i))\big)v. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_j t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v &= \frac{1}{k_i+2}(t_i^{-1}\partial_i \cdot t_j t_i^{k_i+1}\partial_i - t_j t_i^{k_i+1}\partial_i \cdot t_i^{-1}\partial_i)\cdot v \\ =&\frac{\lambda_i^{k_i}\lambda_j}{k_i+2}\Big((\partial_i + 1 - (k_i+1)(a_i+1))(\partial_i + (a_i+1)) \\ &\cdot\big((\partial_j + a_j) + \frac{1}{k_i+1}(\psi(\partial_i+1) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i))\big) \\ &-(\partial_i - (k_i+1)(a_i+1))(\partial_i + a_i - k_i) \\ &\cdot\big((\partial_j + a_j) + \frac{1}{k_i+1}(\psi(\partial_i) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i - 1))\big)\Big)v. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (3.6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(k_i+1)(k_i+2)}{k_i}(\partial_i - k_i(a_i+1))(\psi(\partial_i) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i)) \\ =&(\partial_i + 1 - (k_i+1)(a_i+1))(\partial_i + (a_i+1))(\psi(\partial_i+1) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i)) \\ &-(\partial_i - (k_i+1)(a_i+1))(\partial_i + a_i - k_i)(\psi(\partial_i) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i - 1)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}, k_i \neq 0$. We see that (3.7) holds for all $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking $k_i = -2$ we deduce that $\psi(\partial_i + 1) - \psi(\partial_i + 2) = \psi(\partial_i) - \psi(\partial_i + 1)$, yielding $\psi(\partial_i) = b\partial_i + c$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. From (3.6) with $k_i = 1$ we obtain that

$$t_j t_i \partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - a_i - 1)(\partial_j + a_j + b)v.$$

Noting that $t_j\partial_i \cdot v = \frac{1}{2}[t_i^{-1}\partial_i, t_j t_i \partial_i]\cdot v$, after simple computation we have

$$\lambda_j((\partial_j + a_j)\partial_i + (b\partial_i + c))v = \lambda_j\partial_i(\partial_j + a_j + b)v,$$

yielding $c = 0$ and $\psi(\partial_i) = b\partial_i$.

Case 2. (3.3) holds with j replaced by i.

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_j t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v &= \frac{1}{k_i}(t_j\partial_i \cdot t_i^{k_i}\partial_i - t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot t_j\partial_i)\cdot v \\ &=\lambda_i^{k_i}\lambda_j(\partial_i - k_i(a_i+1))\big((\partial_j + a_j) + \frac{1}{k_i}(\psi(\partial_i) - \psi(\partial_i - k_i))\big) \\ &\cdot \Pi_{p=0}^{k_i-1}(\partial_i + a_i - p)v. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_jt_i^{k_i}\partial_i\cdot v=\frac{1}{k_i+2}(t_i^{-1}\partial_i\cdot t_jt_i^{k_i+1}\partial_i-t_jt_i^{k_i+1}\partial_i\cdot t_i^{-1}\partial_i)\cdot v\\
=&\frac{\lambda_i^{k_i}\lambda_j}{k_i+2}\Big((\partial_i+1-(k_i+1)(a_i+1))(\partial_i+(a_i+1))\\
&\cdot\big((\partial_j+a_j)+\frac{1}{k_i+1}(\psi(\partial_i+1)-\psi(\partial_i-k_i))\big)\\
&-(\partial_i-(k_i+1)(a_i+1))(\partial_i+a_i-k_i)\\
&\cdot\big((\partial_j+a_j)+\frac{1}{k_i+1}(\psi(\partial_i)-\psi(\partial_i-k_i-1))\big)\Big)\Pi_{p=0}^{k_i-1}(\partial_i+a_i-p)v.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with equation (3.8) we also have that equation (3.7) holds. By similar arguments we have $\psi(\partial_i)=b\partial_i$. Claim 1 follows.

If $b=0$, from $t_j^{k_j}\partial_i\cdot v=[t_j^{k_j-1}\partial_j,t_j\partial_i]\cdot v$ with $k_j\in\mathbb{N}$, we deduce (3.5). Next we assume that $b\neq 0$. For $k_j\geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_j^{k_j}\partial_i\cdot v=(t_j^{k_j-1}\partial_j\cdot t_j\partial_i-t_j\partial_i\cdot t_j^{k_j-1}\partial_j)\cdot v\\
=&\lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i\big(\Pi_{p=1}^{k_j-2}(\partial_j+a_j-p)\big)\Big(\big((\partial_j+a_j-k_j+1)\\
&\cdot(\partial_j+a_j)(\partial_j-(k_j-1)(a_j+1))\\
&-(\partial_j+a_j-k_j+1)(\partial_j-(k_j-1)(a_j+1)-1)(\partial_j+a_j)\big)\\
&+b\big((\partial_j+a_j)(\partial_j-(k_j-1)(a_j+1))\\
&-(\partial_j+a_j-k_j+1)(\partial_j-(k_j-1)(a_j+1)-1)\big)\Big)v\\
=&\lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i\big(bk_j(\partial_j-(k_j-2)(a_j+1)-1)\Pi_{p=1}^{k_j-2}(\partial_j+a_j-p)\\
&+\Pi_{p=0}^{k_j-1}(\partial_j+a_j-p)\big)v.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Using this formula we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_j^{k_j+1}\partial_i\cdot v=\frac{1}{k_j}(t_j\partial_j\cdot t_j^{k_j}\partial_i-t_j^{k_j}\partial_i\cdot t_j\partial_j)\cdot v\\
=&\lambda_j^{k_j+1}\partial_i\Big(\Pi_{p=0}^{k_j}(\partial_j+a_j-p)\\
&+b(k_j+1)(\partial_j-(k_j-1)(a_j+1)-1)\cdot\Pi_{p=1}^{k_j-1}(\partial_j+a_j-p)\\
&+2ba_j(a_j+1)(k_j-1)(2\partial_j+2a_j-k_j)\Pi_{p=2}^{k_j-2}(\partial_j+a_j-p)\Big)v.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with equation (3.9) we deduce that

$$2b\big(a_j(a_j+1)(k_j-1)(2\partial_j+2a_j-k_j)\big)\Pi_{p=2}^{k_j-2}(\partial_j+a_j-p)=0,\text{for } k_j\geq 2,$$

which forces that

$$a_j(a_j+1)(k_j-1)=0.$$

Hence $a_j=0$ or -1. Formula (3.9) becomes

$$t_j^{k_j}\partial_i\cdot v=\lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i(\partial_j+k_j(a_j+b))\Pi_{p=1}^{k_j-1}(\partial_j-p)v,\ \text{for } k_j\geq 2.\tag{3.10}$$

Claim 2. If $a_j=0$, then $b=-1$; and if $a_j=-1$, then $b=1$.

We will prove this claim in two cases corresponding to the two choices for $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i \cdot v$ as in Lemma 5.

Case 1. (3.2) holds with j replaced by i.

In this case, by Lemma 6, equations (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$t_it_j\partial_i \cdot v = (t_j\partial_i \cdot t_i\partial_i - t_i\partial_i \cdot t_j\partial_i) \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - (a_i+1))(\partial_j + (a_j+b))v,$$
$$t_it_j\partial_j \cdot v = (t_i\partial_j \cdot t_j\partial_j - t_j\partial_j \cdot t_i\partial_j) \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j\partial_j(\partial_j - 1)v.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_i\lambda_j\big((\partial_i - (a_i+1))(\partial_j + (a_j+b)) - \partial_j(\partial_j-1)\big)v\\ =&t_it_j(\partial_i - \partial_j) \cdot v = [t_i\partial_j, t_j\partial_i] \cdot v\\ =&\lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - \partial_j)(\partial_j + a_j + b)v,\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$(a_j+b) - a_i = (a_i+1)(a_j+b) = 0.$$

We easily deduce that if $a_j = 0$, then $b = -1$; and if $a_j = -1$, then $b = 1$. The claim follows in this case.

Case 2. Equation (3.3) holds with j replaced by i.

By Claim 1 we know that

$$t_i\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_i\partial_j(\partial_i + a_i + c_i)v$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$t_it_j\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i + a_i)(\partial_i - (a_i+1))(\partial_j + a_j + b)v,$$
$$t_it_j\partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j + a_j)(\partial_j - (a_j+1))(\partial_i + a_i + c_i)v.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_i\lambda_j\big((\partial_i + a_i)(\partial_i - (a_i+1))(\partial_j + a_j + b)\\ - (\partial_j + a_j)(\partial_j - (a_j+1))(\partial_i + a_i + c_i)\big)v\\ =&t_it_j(\partial_i - \partial_j) \cdot v = [t_i\partial_j, t_j\partial_i] \cdot v\\ =&\lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - \partial_j)(\partial_i + a_i + c_i)(\partial_j + a_j + b)v.\end{aligned}$$

Computing the coefficients of $\partial_i\partial_j$ and ∂_i we see that

$$(a_j+b) - (a_i+c_i) = 0, \;\; a_ia_j + a_ib - a_j^2 + a_jc + bc + b = 0.$$

Noting that $a_j = 0, -1$, we deduce that if $a_j = 0$, then $b = -1$; and if $a_j = -1$, then $b = 1$. The claim follows.

First we assume that $a_j = 0$ and $b = -1$. we can rewrite (3.10) as follows

$$t_j^{k_j}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i\Pi_{p=1}^{k_j}(\partial_j - p)v, \;\; k_j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As in the remark after Lemma 3.5, we retake $a_j = -1$. Then b is changed to 0 in Claim 1 and (3.5) follows with the new value of a_j in this case.

At last, we assume that $a_j = -1$ and $b = 1$. we can rewrite (3.10) as follows

$$t_j^{k_j}\partial_i \cdot v = \lambda_j^{k_j}\partial_i\Pi_{p=0}^{k_j-1}(\partial_j - p)v, \;\; k_j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As in the remark after Lemma 3.5, we retake $a_j = 0$. Then b is changed to 0 in Claim 1 and (3.5) follows with the new value of a_j in this case. This completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to prove our main result in this paper.

Theorem 8. *Let M be a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module which is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-module of rank 1. Then $M \cong \Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$ for some $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.*

Proof. Since M is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-module of rank 1, there is a nonzero element $v \in M$ such that $M = U(\mathfrak{h}_n)v = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \partial_2, \cdots, \partial_n]v$. Since $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ is generated by $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i, t_j^{k_j}\partial_i$ for $1 \le i \ne j \le n, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -1}, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by Lemma 1 we know that the structure of the $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module M is determined by the action of the elements $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i, t_j^{k_j}\partial_i$ for $1 \le i \ne j \le n, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -1}, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ on v.

From Lemmata 5, 6 and 7, there is $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*, a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ so that Lemmata 5, 6, and 7 hold. Let S be the subset of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ consisting of all j such that (3.3) holds. Next we need only to prove that $a_i = a_j$ for all $1 \le i \ne j \le n$. We do this in three cases: (i). $i, j \notin S$; (ii). $i, j \in S$, (iii). $i \notin S$ and $j \in S$.

For Case (i), by Lemmata 5 and 6 we deduce that

$$t_i t_j \partial_i \cdot v = (t_j\partial_i \cdot t_i\partial_i - t_i\partial_i \cdot t_j\partial_i) \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - (a_i + 1))v,$$
$$t_i t_j \partial_j \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - (a_j + 1))v.$$

We have

$$\lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - \partial_i + (a_i - a_j))v = t_i t_j(\partial_j - \partial_i) \cdot v = [t_j\partial_i, t_i\partial_j] \cdot v = \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - \partial_i)v,$$

yielding that $a_i = a_j$.

For Case (ii), by Lemmata 5 and 7 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} t_i t_j \partial_i \cdot v &= (t_j\partial_i \cdot t_i\partial_i - t_i\partial_i \cdot t_j\partial_i) \cdot v \\ &= \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - (a_i + 1))(\partial_i + a_i)(\partial_j + a_j)v, \\ t_i t_j \partial_j \cdot v &= \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - (a_j + 1))(\partial_i + a_i)(\partial_j + a_j)v. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - \partial_i + (a_i - a_j))(\partial_i + a_i)(\partial_j + a_j)v &= t_i t_j(\partial_j - \partial_i) \cdot v \\ &= [t_j\partial_i, t_i\partial_j] \cdot v \\ &= \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - \partial_i)(\partial_i + a_i)(\partial_j + a_j)v, \end{aligned}$$

yielding that $a_i = a_j$.

For Case (iii), by Lemmata 5, 6 and 7 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} t_i t_j \partial_i \cdot v &= (t_j\partial_i \cdot t_i\partial_i - t_i\partial_i \cdot t_j\partial_i) \cdot v \\ &= \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_i - (a_i + 1))(\partial_j + a_j)v, \\ t_i t_j \partial_j \cdot v &= \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - (a_j + 1))(\partial_j + a_j)v. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - \partial_i + (a_i - a_j))(\partial_j + a_j)v &= t_i t_j(\partial_j - \partial_i) \cdot v \\ &= [t_j\partial_i, t_i\partial_j] \cdot v \\ &= \lambda_i\lambda_j(\partial_j - \partial_i)(\partial_j + a_j)v, \end{aligned}$$

yielding that $a_i = a_j$.

So $a_i = a_j$ for all $1 \le i \ne j \le n$. Denote $a = a_i, 1 \le i \le n$. We know that the action of the elements $t_i^{k_i}\partial_i, t_j^{k_j}\partial_i$ for $1 \le i \ne j \le n, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -1}, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ on v is the same as the action on 1 in $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$. Thus $M \cong \Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$. □

For $\mathcal{W}_n$-module structure on $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$, we have the following

Theorem 9. *Let M be a $\mathcal{W}_n$-module which is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-module of rank 1. Then $M \cong \Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ for some $\Lambda_n \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Since M is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-module of rank 1, there is a nonzero element $v \in M$ such that $M = U(\mathfrak{h}_n)v = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \partial_2, \cdots, \partial_n]v$. We know that $\mathcal{W}_n$ has two subalgebras isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}_n^+$:

$$L_1 = \text{span}\{t^k\partial_i : k \in \cup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n, 1 \le i \le n\},$$

$$L_2 = \text{span}\{t^k\partial_i : -k \in \cup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n, 1 \le i \le n\}$$

which share the same Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$.

If we consider M as an L_1-module, from Theorem 8 there exist some $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ so that (1.3) holds. If we consider M as an L_2-module, there exist some $\Lambda_n' = (\lambda_1', \cdots, \lambda_n') \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, a' \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S' \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ so that (1.3) holds with the corresponding parameters and with $-k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,i}^n$. Then $S = S' = \varnothing$, $a = a'$ and $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_n'$. Therefore (1.2) holds, which means $M \cong \Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ as $\mathcal{W}_n$-module. This completes the proof. □

One can easily check that all the proofs in this section are valid if n is replaced by ∞. So we have the following

Theorem 10. (a). *Let V be a $\mathcal{W}_\infty^+$-module which is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_\infty)$-module of rank 1. Then $V \cong \Omega(\Lambda_\infty, a, S)$ for some $\Lambda_\infty \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^\infty$, some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and some subset S of $\mathbb{N}$.*

(b). *Let M be a $\mathcal{W}_\infty$-module which is a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_\infty)$-module of rank 1. Then $M \cong \Omega(\Lambda_\infty, a)$ for some sequence Λ_∞ of $\mathbb{C}^*$ and some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

For simplicity of these modules we have the following

Theorem 11. *Let $\Lambda_n \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.*

(a). *$\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$ is simple if and only if $S \neq \varnothing$, or $S = \varnothing$ and $a \neq -1$.*

(b). *$\mathcal{W}_n$-module $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a)$ is simple if and only if and $a \neq -1$.*

Proof. (a). If $S \neq \varnothing$, from Theorem 33(ii) in [N] we know that $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$ is simple as an $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module. So it is simple as a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module since $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_n^+$.

If $S = \varnothing$ and $a = -1$, from Example 3 in [TZ3] we know that $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, S)$ is not simple as a $\mathcal{W}_n$-module. So it is not simple as a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module since $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_n$.

If $S = \varnothing$ and $a \neq -1$, from Lemma 1 and by equation (3.2), we can deduce that each nonzero element of $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, \varnothing)$ can generate 1. Hence $\Omega(\Lambda_n, a, \varnothing)$ as a $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module is simple.

Part (b) follows from Example 3 in [TZ3]. □

We remark that, under the well-known embedding of (1.1) in [TZ3], the $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-modules restricted from the simple $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-modules constructed in Theorem 8 exhaust all the $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-modules whose are a free module over the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ classified in [N].

Acknowledgement. The second author is partially supported by NSF of China (Grant 11271109), NSERC, and University Research Professor grant

at Wilfrid Laurier University. The authors thank Prof. R. Lu for a lot of helpful discussions during the preparation of the paper.

References

[BF] Y. Billig, V. Futorny, Classification of simple W_n- modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces, arXiv:1304.5458v1.

[BM] P. Batra, V. Mazorchuk, Blocks and modules for Whittaker pairs, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 215(2011), 1552-1568.

[BMZ] Y. Billig, A. Molev, R. Zhang, Differential equations in vertex algebras and simple modules for the Lie algebra of vector fields on a torus, Adv. Math., 218(6)(2008), 1972-2004.

[CGZ] H. Chen, X. Guo, K. Zhao, Tensor product weight modules over the Virasoro algebra, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 88 (3)(2013), 829-844.

[CM] C. Conley, C. Martin, A family of irreducible representations of Witt Lie algebra with infinite-dimensional weight spaces, Compos. Math., 128(2)(2001), 152-175.

[E] S. Eswara Rao, Partial classifications of the Lie algebra of the diffeomorphisms of d-dimensional torus, J. Math. Phys. 45(2004), 3322-3333.

[GLZ] X. Guo, R. Lu and K. Zhao, Fraction representations and highest-weight-like representations of the Virasoro algebra, J. Algebra, 387(1) (2013), 68-86.

[HWZ] J. Hu, X. Wang, K. Zhao, Verma modules over generalized Virasoro algebras Vir[G], J. Pure Appl. Alg., 177(2003), 61-69.

[Ka] V.G. Kac, Some problems of infinite-dimensional Lie aglebras and their representations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 993, (1982)117-126. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer.

[LGZ] R. Lü, X. Guo, K. Zhao, Irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra, Doc. Math., **16** (2011), 709-721.

[LLZ] G. Liu, R. Lü, K. Zhao, A class of simple weight Virasoro modules, arXiv:1211.0998.

[LZ1] R. Lü, K. Zhao, Irreducible Virasoro modules from irreducible Weyl modules, arXiv:1209.3746, J. Algebra, to appear.

[LZ2] R. Lü, K. Zhao, A family of simple weight modules over the Virasoro algebra, arXiv:1303.0702.

[M] O. Mathieu, Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra, Invent. Math. 107(2)(1992), 225–234.

[MW] V. Mazorchuk, E. Weisner, Simple Virasoro modules induced from codimension one subalgebras of the positive part, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press, arXiv:1209.1691,

[MZ1] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Classification of simple weight Virasoro modules with a finite-dimensional weight space, J. Algebra, 307(1)(2007), 209-214.

[MZ2] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part, Selecta Math. New Ser., DOI 10.1007/s00029-013-0140-8.

[MZ3] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Supports of weight modules over Witt algebras, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 141A(2011), 155-170.

[N] J. Nilsson, Simple $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h})$, arXiv:1312.5499.

[OW] M. Ondrus, E. Wiesner, Whittaker Modules for the Virasoro Algebra, J. Algebra Appl., 8(2009), 363-377.

[PS] I. Penkov, V. Serganova, Weight representations of the polynomial Cartan type Lie algebras W_n and S_n, Math. Res. Lett., 6 (3-4)(1999), 397-416.

[TZ1] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible Virasoro modules from tensor products, arXiv:1301.2131.

[TZ2] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible Virasoro modules from tensor products (II), J. Algebra, 394(2013), 357-373.

[TZ3] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible modules over Witt algebras $\mathcal{W}_n$ and over $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, arXiv:1312.5539.

[Zh] H. Zhang, A class of representations over the Virasoro algebra, J. Algebra, 190(1)(1997), 1-10.

H. Tan: Department of Applied Mathematics, Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun, Jilin, 130022, P.R. China. and College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal (Teachers) University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050016 P. R. China. Email: hjtan9999@yahoo.com

K. Zhao: Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3C5, and College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal (Teachers) University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050016 P. R. China. Email: kzhao@wlu.ca